

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	F	ILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
10/722,406		11/28/2003	Miyuki Tanaka	245996US0	7403
22850	7590	09/12/2006		EXAMINER	
C. IRVIN N			PENG, KUO LIANG		
OBLON, SPIVAK, MCCLELLAND, MAIER & NEUSTADT, P.C. 1940 DUKE STREET				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
ALEXANDRIA, VA 22314			1712		
				DATE MAILED: 09/12/2000	6

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Office Action Summany	10/722,406	TANAKA ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
The SAAU INO DATE of this accomplishing and	Kuo-Liang Peng	1712				
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL' WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING D. Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period to Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tim will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTH'S from , cause the application to become ABANDONEI	J. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 7/12	<u>'06 Amendment</u> .					
2a) ☐ This action is FINAL . 2b) ☐ This						
3) Since this application is in condition for alloward	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is					
closed in accordance with the practice under E	Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 45	53 O.G. 213.				
Disposition of Claims						
4) ☐ Claim(s) 1-18 is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdray 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 1.4,5,12-14 and 16-18 is/are rejected 7) ☐ Claim(s) 2.3,6-11 and 15 is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	wn from consideration.					
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine	er.					
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acc		Examiner.				
Applicant may not request that any objection to the	drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See	e 37 CFR 1.85(a).				
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct		` ,				
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	caminer. Note the attached Office	Action or form PTO-152.				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority document 2. Certified copies of the priority document 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority application from the International Bureau * See the attached detailed Office action for a list	s have been received. s have been received in Application ity documents have been received in PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	on No ed in this National Stage				
Attachment(s)		•				
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:	ate				

Application/Control Number: 10/722,406 Page 2

Art Unit: 1712

DETAILED ACTION

The Applicants' amendment filed on July 12, 2006 is acknowledged. Claim
 is amended. Claims 3-18 are added. Now, Claims 1-18 are pending.

2. The text of those sections of Title 35, U.S. code not included in this action can be found in prior Office Action(s).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

- 3. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

 The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.
- 4. Claims 12-13 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

In Claim 12 (line 2), it is not clear as to how low the "lower" in "lower alkenyl group" refers to.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

5. Claims 1, 5, 12-14 and 16-18 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being unpatentable over Sumpter (US 5 331 075).

Sumpter discloses a silicone composition comprising a vinyl organopolysiloxane fluid, a silicon hydride siloxane and a platinum catalyst system. The platinum catalyst system can contain a platinum and indazole. The amounts of the platinum and the indazole are described in col. 3, lines 3-17 and col. 6, lines 39-44. The composition does not contain cyclic siloxane fractions of D3 to D10. Sumpter teaches that the vinyl organopolysiloxane fluid is preferably a vinyl end-capped linear polydiorganosiloxane represented by formula (2). (col. 3, line 43 to col. 4, line 40) As such, Sumpter does teach the less preferable vinyl organopolysiloxane fluid that are those end-capped with triorganosiloxy groups or those containing branched moieties. Since Sumpter's silicone composition is substantially the same as that of Applicants', both should the same properties such as transparency, flame retardancy, etc. *In re Best*, 195 USPQ 430 (CCPA 1977). As such, Sumpter's silicone composition should be capable of being used for preparing an integrated circuit.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 6. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject

matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 1, 4, 12-14 and 16-18 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being 7. unpatentable over Wong (US 4 720 431) in view of Palensky (US 4 511 715).

Wong discloses a silicone composition comprising A) a hydrido-containing polysiloxane, B) an alkenyl group-containing polysiloxane and an organicplatinum catalyst. (col. 1, line 36 to col. 2, line 12) The crosslinking reaction between A) and B) occurs in the chain of component B) (i.e., the chain ends of component B) are blocked by triorganosiloxy group)(col. 2, line 46 to col. 3, line 24) The composition can be used for integrated circuit devices. (col. 1, lines 12-33 and 51-61) The composition does not contain cyclic siloxane fractions of D3 to D10. Wong is silent on the use of the specific component D) set forth in the present invention. However, Palensky teaches the use of a platinum catalyst system containing a platinum and a nitrogen compound such as **imidazole**. (col. 2, lines 3-47, col. 6, lines 40-44, col. 8, lines 26-38 and Example 4) The motivation of using the platinum/imidazole catalyst is to enhance the stability, etc. of the composition. (col. 2, lines 35-44) In light of the benefit mentioned, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention was made to utilize

Palensky's platinum/imidazole catalyst in Wong's composition with expected success.

6. Claims 2-3, 6-11 and 15 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Sumpter does not teach or fairly suggest the specific component D) set forth in the instant claims.

Claims 12-13 would be allowable if rewritten to overcome the rejection(s) under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, set forth in this Office action and to include all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

The patentability of the instant claims is described above.

8. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Kuo-Liang Peng whose telephone number is (571) 272-1091. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday from 8:30 AM to 5:00 PM.

Application/Control Number: 10/722,406 Page 6

Art Unit: 1712

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Randy Gulakowski, can be reached on (571) 272-1302. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

klp

September 8, 2006

Kuo-Liang Peng Primary Examiner Art Unit 1712